Scoring Rubric for Group Presentations

Competence	Weighting /100	Criteria				Comments
		Α	A-	B+	B and below	
Introduction	10	Clearly defined background and relevance of policy issue. States objective precisely	Defined background and general relevance of policy issue. Stated objectives	General description of background and relevance of policy. Objectives not stated or are not clear.	Limited background information. No objectives	
Body of presentation	20	Clear valid argument Appropriate level of presentation taking into account the audience Convincing argument	Argument made clearly Level appropriate for audience	Argument made though not always consistent Level of presentation fixed and not always appropriate for level of audience	Confused argument Inappropriate level of presentation	
Conclusion	10	All major points fully summarized All weaknesses admitted Take home messages are clear	Major points fully summarized Most weaknesses admitted Take home messages are unclear	Some major points summarized Some weaknesses admitted Take home messages are not clear or absent	Few major points summarized No weaknesses admitted Take home messages absent	
Speaking style	10	Presenters speak clearly Maintain eye contact Well rehearsed Appropriate and effective body language Dressed for the occasion	Presenters speak fairly clearly Maintain some eye contact Some rehearsal obvious Appropriate body language Some dressing for the occasion	Presenters speak indistinctly or too fast Minimal eye contact Some rehearsal possible Body language inappropriate Minimal dressing for the occasion	Presenters speak poorly Maintain no eye contact No rehearsal obvious Absent body language No dressing for the occasion	
Response to questions	20	Answer respectfully Answer knowledgably Involve all team members in responses	Answer politely Answer repeating presentation Involve some team members in responses	Answer aggressively Answer without referring to presentation Involve a few team members in responses	Does not really answer the question One individual answers all questions ignoring other team members in responses	
Audiovisuals	20	Clear and understandable Text readable and clear Fully support the main points of the presentation Well referenced	Understandable Text readable Support the main points of the presentation Some references	Barely Understandable Text not fully readable Support some of the main points of the presentation Few references	Not understandable Text crowded and difficult to read Hardly supports the main points of the presentation No references	
Overall Assessment	10	Convincing presentation	Adequate Presentation	Weak presentation	Poor Presentation	